## Case 1:21-cr-00219-NONE-SKO Document 17 Filed 09/16/21 Page 1 of 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNI	TED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,		) Case No. 1:21-CR-00219 NONE SKO
	VS.	) DETENTION ORDER
GER	ARDO MALDONADO-RODRIGUEZ,	
Defendant.		) )
<b>A.</b>		pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reform Act, the Court tained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).
В.	reasonably assure the appearange By clear and convincing	
С.	in the Pretrial Services Report, and inc  X (1) Nature and circum X (a) The crime: 8 U.S. is a serious crime and (b) The offense is a cr. (c) The offense involv	nstances of the offense charged:  C. § 1326(a) & (b)(2)—Deported Alien Found in U.S. carries a maximum penalty of: 20 yrs./\$250,000. ime of violence.
	X (3) The history and characterist (a) General Factors:  The defendant defendant will the defendant will the defendant of the	appears to have a mental condition which may affect whether the

## DETENTION ORDER - Page 2 Population Description Descri

	(b) Whether the defendant was on probation, parole, or release by a court:  At the time of the current arrest, the defendant was on:  Probation Parole Release pending trial, sentence, appeal, or completion of sentence.  (c) Other Factors: The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted Other: The defendant is subject to a no-bail immigration detainer.
	(4) The nature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release are as follows:
	(5) <u>Rebuttable Presumptions</u> In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied on the following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. §3142(e) which the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:
	a. That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community because the Court finds that the crime involves: (A) A crime of violence; (B) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life imprisonment or death; (C) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more; or, (D) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of 2 or more prior offenses described in (A) through (C) above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (A) through (C) above which is less than 5 years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release b. That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe: (A) That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more (B) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18 U.S.C. §924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device).
D.	Additional Directives Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that: The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; the defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and, that on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
Dated:	September 16, 2021 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE